Sébastien Lannez<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Christian Artigues<sup>2,3</sup>, Jean Damay<sup>1</sup>, and Michel Gendreau<sup>4</sup>

- 1 SNCF I&R/SRO ; 45 rue de Londres, 75008 Paris, France, {sebastien.lannez,jean.damay}@sncf.fr
- $\mathbf{2}$ CNRS ; LAAS ; 7 avenue du colonel Roche, F-31077 Toulouse, France artigues@laas.fr
- 3 Université de Toulouse ; UPS, INSA, INP, ISAE ; LAAS ; F-31077 **Toulouse**, France
- 4 CIRRELT, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Montréal (Québec), H3C 3J7 Canada michel.gendreau@cirrelt.ca

#### - Abstract -

In this paper we address a real world optimisation problem, the Rail Track Inspection Scheduling Problem (RTISP). This problem consists of scheduling network inspection tasks. The objective is to minimise total deadhead distance. A mixed integer formulation of the problem is presented. A column generation based algorithm is proposed to solve this rich arc routing problem. Its performance is analysed by benchmarking a real world dataset from the French national railway company (SNCF). The efficiency of the algorithm is compared to an enhanced greedy algorithm. Its ability to schedule one year of inspection tasks on a sparse graph with thousand nodes, arcs and edges is assessed.

**1998 ACM Subject Classification** J.m [COMPUTER APPLICATIONS]: Miscellaneous

Keywords and phrases arc routing, column generation, heuristic, railtrack maintenance

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/OASIcs.ATMOS.2010.130

#### 1 Introduction

One of the major problems that railway companies have faced since the very beginning are failures in tracks. Defects in rails, as the basic part of a track may result in serious accidents. Réseau Ferré Français (RFF), the French railway infrastructure manager, have delegated some railway maintenances to the Société Nationale des Chemins de Fers (SNCF), a French railway company. SNCF is committed to ensure the safety of the railway network. One of these maintenances is to prevent tracks failures. In order to quickly inspects the French network, SNCF is using ultrasonic defectoscopy to detect and survey imperfections in rails. Inspection frequencies increase with speed and cumulated train weight.

Inspection frequencies range from six months to twenty years. Two third of the total inspections (35 000 km) are performed on tracks which should be visited once or twice a year. These tracks are called *primary tracks*. All the remaining inspections (secondary tracks) will be performed by local logistic departments. A map representing these tracks is presented in figure 1a. A schematic zoom around Bordeaux is shown in figure 1b. Ultrasonic inspections are performed with three specialised rolling stock units, thereafter called vehicles. Their maximum speed and working capacity are different. The detection of defects in the track is



© Sébastien Lannez, Christian Artigues, Jean Damay and Michel Gendreau; © Sébastien Lannez, Christian Arugues, som \_\_\_\_ icensed under Creative Commons License NC-ND

10th Workshop on Algorithmic Approaches for Transportation Modelling, Optimization, and Systems (ATMOS'10). Editors: Thomas Erlebach, Marco Lübbecke; pp. 130-141

**OpenAccess Series in Informatics OASICS** Schloss Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany



**Figure 1** French railway network model

performed by reverberation analysis of the ultrasonic waves passing through the rails. These vehicles can move during at most six hours per day. This limitation is due to maximum shift duration and maximum daily inspection distance. This distance is limited by the water tank capacity needed to keep sensors and rails coupled during measure. These tanks can only be refilled at special stations. Over the 200 stations on the *primary tracks*, 90 are equipped with water supply. For organisational purposes, vehicle's moves are geographically constrained and their maintenances should be performed periodically.

The problem SNCF is dealing with is to visit a given set of tracks taking into account some operational constraints. Tracks outages can alter vehicle's speed or prevent them from circulating during certain days. Vehicle's speed depends whether it is inspecting or deadheading: during deadhead trips speed can be more than three times faster than when inspecting. Vehicle's daily inspection capacity is limited by the total amount of water which can be brought on board. Water tank refill is time consuming and needs rarely available operators at the station. Hence, it is not desired to do more than one refill per shift. The main cost indicator is a common logistic performance ratio based on the quantity of tracks inspected per year divided by the total traveled distance in a year. The total quantity of *primary tracks* to be inspected every year is constant, so minimising this performance ratio reduces to the minimisation of the total deadhead distance.

This problem can be modeled as an arc routing problem related to the ones describing road deicing, waste collection or network weeding as described in the survey from [18–21]. It involves complicating constraints, namely shift limited duration, water supply, track outages and heterogeneous fleets. Another difficulty is the network size which makes it a real challenge to solve.

# 2 Literature review

#### 2.1 Industrial arc routing problems

In [14], the authors notified that industrial vehicle routing problems are rich: models are generalisations of lots of academic ones, and input data dimension can be huge.

Road related problems have supplied researchers with a lot of arc routing problems. A review of problems arising during winter road maintenances has been published in the articles [18–22]. They also present industrial applications. Waste collection or postal deliveries are

also an active field from arc routing problems. In [16], a description of a waste collection problem is presented. A nation wide postal delivery problem has been modeled as an industrial arc routing problem in [15].

# 2.2 Arc routing problems

In this section, some arc routing problems and their applications are presented. For a more complete catalog of them, a good introduction might be the books [7] and [5] and the survey articles [8, 9].

One problem the RTISP is related with is the capacitated arc routing problem (CARP), described in [13]. It consists in visiting a set of arcs with a single vehicle. Each visited arc reduces by a given amount the remaining working capacity of the vehicle. In the RTISP, tasks and deadheads circulation can be modeled with arcs. The working capacity of vehicles is constrained by the vehicle's water tank capacity and the duration of a shift. The capacitated arc routing problem with time windows (CARP-TW) extends the CARP by constraining the possible visits of arcs to belong to a set of periods. Paper [10] contains a description of a column generation procedure. In [23], a procedure to solve this problem with a greedy randomised adaptive search procedure (GRASP) associated with path relinking is described. Another extension is the capacitated arc routing problem with refill points (CARP-RP) presented in [2], also called the capacitated arc routing problem with intermediate facilities (CARP-IF) in [12]. It extends the CARP by adding refill facilities to certain nodes.

We have not been aware of published work about methods for solving a problem having all these features. However, this problem, which can be called multi-capacitated arc routing problem with time windows, refill points and heterogeneous fleet (H-MCARP-RP-TW), is suitable for the description of the RTISP and also of use for others transportation problems.

# 3 Assumptions and models

# 3.1 Hypothesis

Vehicle moves are modeled with arcs and edges. They represent either inspection tasks and deadhead traversals of track portions or complex moves like unit switch back or station traversal. Arcs are suitable for the description of unidirectional railway tracks whereas edges are for bidirectional railway tracks. Nodes describe stations, communication between railway tracks, or locations in the network where the vehicles can change their circulation mode. Only *primary tracks* are directly modeled.

For the schedule to be easily adapted during operations, multiple shifts per day are not taken into account. Each shift consists of a trip between two refill stations with a total distance to inspect smaller than the capacity of the water tank and a total trip duration smaller than the duration of a work shift. Given all the feasible shift pattern paths, the RTISP becomes the problem of selecting and scheduling them in order to satisfy all inspections at the lowest cost.

# 3.2 Graph and vehicle representation

A multigraph G = (V, A) containing arcs and edges (A) and nodes (V) models the railway network. Arcs and edges can represent tasks  $(\overline{A})$ , deadhead traversal  $(\widetilde{A})$  or wait  $(\widehat{A})$ . Nodes can represent rest and refill stations  $(\overline{V})$ , or communications between railtracks and measure interruption possibility  $(\widetilde{V})$ . The corresponding arcs describe the set  $(\underline{A})$ . All these sets are indexed by k when they are related to the subnetwork which can be inspected by vehicle

133

 $k \in K$ . The parameter  $l_a$  is the length in kilometers of arc a. The parameter  $d_{ak}$  is the traversal duration of arc a for vehicle k. The parameter  $w_k$  is the working capacity, in kilometers, of the vehicle k. Loop arcs  $(\hat{A})$  represent dead shifts and have a traversal duration of one shift.

# 3.3 Calendar

The calendar H is assumed to not contains any non working day. It is composed of integer values representing number of "shift seconds" since the first period of the planning horizon. The need for a small timeslot comes from the wide range of task duration and the relatively high speed of vehicles. t is a timeslot in H, s the duration of a shift and p the first period of the calendar. The subset  $D \subseteq H$  contains the first "shift seconds" of each shift. The subset  $\bar{H}_{a,k} \subseteq H$  contains the set of periods during which vehicle k can not traverse arc a.

# 3.4 Mathematical model

The binary integer program presented in this section is used to better clarify the mathematical representation of the RTISP. The model  $\mathcal{M}$  contains an exponential number of variables, each one representing a feasible shift pattern.

#### **Objective function**

Minimise total deadhead: the cost of an arc is the length of the arc if this arc is a deadhead one. No cost is imputed for other arcs.

$$c_a = \begin{cases} l_a, \text{if } a \in \tilde{A}, \\ 0, \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

### Shift flow model - $(\mathcal{M})$

Given the complete set of feasible trips between two refill stations, vehicles circulation can be modeled as a flow on a multicommodity network, each arc representing feasible daily trips.

The set Q contains all shift patterns. The subset  $Q^k$  contains all shift patterns valid for vehicle k. Each shift pattern q is associated to a path between two nodes having refill facilities. Let  $\mathcal{P}_q$  denote the sequence containing the visited arcs in their visiting order.

Let  $H_q$  denote the set of periods during which the shift q can start. Let s be the duration of a shift. Let  $z_q^t$  equal one if shift pattern q is performed during calendar day t. Let  $A_{aq}$  be a parameter which equal one if arc a is inspected during shift pattern q. Let  $S_{aq}$  and  $E_{aq}$  be parameters which equals one if arc a is respectively the first and the last of the shift pattern q.

Let  $\delta^+(v)$  and  $\delta^-(v)$  denote the set of outgoing arcs and the set of ingoing arcs of node v. The cost of a shift pattern is defined as follows:

$$c_q = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}_q} c_a, \ \forall k \in K, q \in Q^k.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The mixed integer program is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{minimise} \sum_{q \in Q} \sum_{t \in D} c_q z_q^t \\ \text{subject to} \end{array} \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{t \in D} \sum_{q \in Q} A_{aq} z_q^t \ge 1, \qquad \forall a \in \bar{A}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{q \in Q^k} \sum_{a \in \delta^+(v)}^{a \in \underline{A}} S_{aq} z_q^{t+s} - \sum_{a \in \delta^-(v)}^{a \in \underline{A}} E_{aq} z_q^t = 0, \qquad \forall v \in \overline{V}, k \in K, t \in D$$

$$\tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{q \in Q^k} z_q^t \le 1, \qquad \forall k \in K, t \in D$$
(6)

$$z_q^t = 0, \qquad \forall t \notin H_q \tag{7}$$

$$z_q^t \in \{0,1\}, \qquad \forall t \in D, q \in Q \tag{8}$$

The objective function (3) ensures that from all feasible solutions the one with minimum total deadhead will be selected. Constraints (4) ensure that the set of selected shift permits to perform all inspection tasks. Constraints (5) ensure for each vehicle that two consecutive shifts end and start at the same node. Constraints (6) enforce for each vehicle the assignment of at most one shift per calendar day. Constraints (7) ensure that shift are scheduled during valid periods. Constraints (8) ensure that solutions are integer.

# 4 Relaxation

Solving model  $\mathcal{M}$  with an out-of-the-box branch-and-bound method is not tractable due to the large number of binary variables and constraints. Fortunately, space and time distribution of inspection tasks are correlated. The analysis of the way the experts are actually scheduling the vehicles shows that relaxing some of the time related constraints does not destroy too much the structure of the provided feasible solutions.

The main idea of our algorithm is to relax constraints which tie together shifts, while maintaining strong feasibility inside each of them (trip length, shift duration, task sequence and time windows). This relaxation is strengthened by adding cuts which reduce the selection of infeasible solutions.  $\mathcal{RM}$  is a continuous relaxation of  $\mathcal{M}$  in which we relaxed shift relation constraints (5) and (6) and time index of decision variables  $z_q^t$ .

# 4.1 $\mathcal{RM}$ :

The relaxed model  $\mathcal{RM}$  is obtained by removing the time based indexation of the variables  $z_q^t$ . Constraints (6) are removed because they are redundant in this new model. Constraints (7) are removed because time is no longer taken into account. The substitution performed is  $\sum_{t \in D} z_q^t = z_q$ .

The linear program is as follows:

minimise 
$$\sum_{q \in Q} c_q z_q$$
 subject to  
 $\sum_{q \in Q} A_{aq} z_q \ge 1, \quad \forall a \in \overline{A},$ 
(9)

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{q \in Q^k} \sum_{a \in \delta^+(v)}^{a \in \underline{A}} S_{aq} z_q - \sum_{a \in \delta^-(v)}^{a \in \underline{A}} E_{aq} z_q = 0, \qquad \forall v \in \bar{V},$$
(10)

$$z_q \in [0,1], \qquad \forall q \in Q. \tag{11}$$

# 4.2 Enhancing $\mathcal{RM}$ with a local pseudo cut

Our preliminary computational tests on solving  $\mathcal{RM}$  by column generation have highlighted the selection of some columns with incompatible time windows. To reduce this side effect, relaxation is strengthen by adding cuts inspired by Benders feasibility cuts [4]. At each column generation iteration, a subproblem is solved to check if every shift pattern can be assigned to a calendar date. If it is not, a cut aiming at limiting the selection of infeasible sets of shifts is generated and added to model  $\mathcal{RM}$ .

The chosen cut is the subset variable sum. For a given solution containing n shifts, of which only m can be scheduled together, it ensures that at most m of the corresponding variables can be non zero. Let  $\bar{z}^{IP}$  be an integer solution, with  $\bar{z}_q^{IP}$  the value of variable  $z_q$  in this integer solution. We recall that Q contains the set of shift patterns. The "subset variables sum" cut can be expressed as follows:

$$\sum_{q \in Q \mid \overline{z}_q^{IP} > 0} z_q \le m. \tag{12}$$

Unfortunately, this cut is not strong enough to be efficient in the linear model  $\mathcal{RM}$  and using out-of-the-box branch-and-bound solver to get an integer solution from  $\mathcal{RM}$  is actually not an option due to high computation time. To overcome this situation a heuristically generated cut is used to remove bad integer solutions directly in the continuous space of  $\mathcal{RM}$ . The cut is called a *local pseudo cuts*. These cuts are called *pseudo cuts* because they are not valid for the integer program: feasible solutions can be cut. They are called *local cut* because they can help to generate integer feasible solutions in the neighborhood of the current one. The *local pseudo cut* counterpart of the "subset variables sum" can be expressed as follows:

$$\sum_{q \in Q \mid \bar{z}_q^{LP} > 0} \frac{1}{\bar{z}_q^{LP}} z_q \le m.$$
(13)

# 5 Column generation based heuristic - AlgoColGen

# 5.1 Overall view

The proposed algorithm is based on a mathematical decomposition which is heuristically solved in three steps. The first one is used to aggregate simple tasks into work shifts with the use of a column generation algorithm applied to the model  $\mathcal{RM}$ . It generates a continuous solution to the problem. In the second step, a rounding greedy heuristic is used to get an integer solution. This new integer candidate solution is tested against calendar day



**Figure 2** Scheme of the decomposition algorithm

assignment to check if it is feasible according to task cover constraints (6). If it is not, a local pseudo cut is generated. If it is, the new candidate solution is used to generate a constraint program for the third stage. This last stage is used to check the feasibility of the set of work shifts. If this test fails, a local pseudo cut which can be added to  $\mathcal{RM}$  is generated. Otherwise, a solution with minimum total deadhead traversal distance is approximated. The general scheme of this algorithm is given in the figure 2.

# 5.2 Stage 1 - Column generation

The master problem is a set covering problem (SCP) with additional constraints. The subproblems are to find elementary shortest paths between two refill stations with resource constraints.

# Master problem - ( $\mathcal{RM}$ )

The master problem of the column generation is the mathematical model  $\mathcal{RM}$ . It is a linear program solved by the simplex algorithm.

### Subproblems - (SP)

Shift patterns are generated by solving elementary shortest path problems with two resource constraints (water, shift duration). The implemented procedure is a label setting algorithm inspired by the algorithm presented in [11].

The dual variable  $\lambda_a$  is associated to each constraint (9). The dual variable  $\mu_{a\bar{k}}$  is associated to each constraint (10). The parameter  $\bar{k}$  is the index of the vehicle for which a shortest path is to be computed and  $\bar{t}$  is the first period of a shift.

$$SP(\lambda, \mu, k, t) =$$
minimise 
$$\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{t=\bar{t}}^{t \leq \bar{t}+s} (c_a \lambda_a + \mu_{a\bar{k}}^t) x_{a\bar{k}}^t$$
(14)

subject to

 $x_{ak}^t$ 

$$\sum_{e \in \bar{A}} \sum_{t=\bar{t}}^{t+s} l_{ak} x_{a\bar{k}}^t \le w_{\bar{k}},\tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{a\in\delta^{-}(v)} x_{a\bar{k}}^{t-d_{a\bar{k}}} - \sum_{a\in\delta^{+}(v)} x_{a\bar{k}}^{t} = 0, \qquad t\in H, \bar{t}\leq t\leq \bar{t}+s$$

$$\tag{16}$$

$$= 0 \qquad a \in A, t \in \bar{H}_{a,k} \tag{17}$$

$$x_{ak}^t \in \{0, 1\}, \quad a \in A, t \in H$$
 (18)

Constraints (15) enforce length of shortest paths to not exceed vehicle's water capacity. Constraints (16) ensure flow conservation. Constraints (17) ensure that no arc are traversed during outages. Finally, constraints (18) ensure that vehicle can only move on the graph.

It should be noticed that it would be intractable to compute, at each column generation iteration,  $K \cdot D$  constrained shortest paths. Our implementation enables finding valid shortest paths for multiple calendar days. It can be parameterised to generate from K to  $K \cdot D$  subproblems. At the first extreme, the feasible solution space of each of the K subproblems is large. Solving one of them is very time consuming. In the other extreme, the feasible solution space of each of the  $K \cdot D$  subproblems is narrow and solving one of them is fast.

# 5.3 Stage 2 - Early feasibility test

The column generation model becomes quickly degenerated with a lot of columns and few constraints. Getting an integer solution from  $\mathcal{RM}$  with a general purpose branch-and-boundand-cut is not a realistic choice because the solution space is far too wide. In order to quickly get an integer feasible solution, a rounding heuristic, named *AlgoGreedyCover*, inspired by the greedy algorithm proposed by Chvàtal [6] is applied to the set covering problem. This heuristic selects columns to be rounded up by computing a ratio of the column cost and the number of times it appears in the rows. It ensures the satisfaction of cover constraints (4). The selected shifts are tested against calendar day assignment with a max flow problem. The optimal flow gives an upper bound on the maximum number of shifts which can be scheduled. If it is lower than the number of shifts, a local pseudo cut is generated and added to the master problem, see Section 4.2. Otherwise, a new candidate solution has been found. It is saved and will be tested against feasibility for model  $\mathcal{M}$  in the third stage. It should be noticed that the rounding heuristic and the max flow algorithm are both polynomial algorithms [1].

#### Rounding heuristic - AlgoGreedyCover

The rounding heuristic consists in computing, for each fractional variable, the ratio between the objective function coefficient and the number of times it appears in the rows. The value of the variable with lowest ratio is rounded up and removed from the list of selectable columns  $(\bar{Q})$ . The related cover constraints are marked as satisfied. Each variable which is selectable and for which every cover constraints are marked as satisfied is removed from  $\bar{Q}$  and its value set to zero. The ratio of each column is updated and the algorithm iterates until all cover constraints are satisfied or no variable is selectable.

# Calendar day assignment - $(\mathcal{M}^{cal})$

The problem of flow maximisation in a graph is used for modeling possible assignment of shifts to calendar days. This problem has been proved to be polynomially solvable, [1].

Let  $B_{qt}$  be a parameter which equals one if shift q can be assigned to calendar day t. Let  $\bar{Q}^k$  be the set of selected shift patterns of vehicle k. Let  $y_{qt}$  be a binary variable which equals one if shift pattern q is assigned to calendar day t.

The assignment problem is defined as follows:  $\mathcal{M}^{cal}(k) =$ 

$$\text{maximise} \sum_{t \in D} \sum_{q \in \bar{Q}^k} B_{qt} y_{qt} \tag{19}$$

subject to  

$$\sum B_{i} y_{i} < 1 \qquad \forall a \in \overline{O}^{k}$$

$$\sum_{t \in D} B_{qt} y_{qt} \le 1 \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\sum_{t \in D} B_{qt} y_{qt} \le 1 \qquad \forall t \in D$$
(20)
(21)

(20)

$$\in \bar{Q}^k$$

$$y_{qt} \in \{0,1\} \qquad \forall t \in D, q \in Q^{k}$$

$$\tag{22}$$

The objective function (19) ensures that among all feasible solutions, the one maximising the number of assigned shifts is to be chosen. Constraints (20) ensures that a shift pattern can be assigned to at most one calendar day. Constraints (21) ensures that a calendar day can not be assigned to more than one shift.

# 5.4 Stage 3 - Complete feasibility test

The above-described rounding heuristic does not take into account tasks sequencing constraints. Solutions found during stage 2 can still violate the flow conservation constraints between shifts (5). To overcome this situation, an extension of a Traveling Salesman Problem with Time Windows [3] is used to construct a feasible solution from the task groups selection of these solutions. This problem models at a macroscopic level the RTISP with a period duration of one shift. A list algorithm is presented to solve it. Each node of the TSP graph represents a shift pattern. For each node, a list of time windows, during which vehicles can go through, are defined. Arcs between nodes represents end of day deadhead moves. Their cost is the total distance between the end of the shift and the start of the next shift. The duration needed to traverse each arc depends on the shift pattern duration and the vehicle deadhead speed.

This problem is solved with a heuristic named *AlgoSchedList*, based on a constraint propagation and list scheduling. It relies on depth first search without backtracking. Indeed, due to computational difficulty, we replace backtracking by a *guided multi start* framework. At the end of each search, each decision taken during the search (branch selection) is priced. These prices are used to update a transition cost matrix. Once matrix cost is fully updated, the search is restarted. The pricing mechanism is inspired by the Vickrey-Clarke-Groves mechanism, a well known externality measure described in [17]. At first, these prices are initialised with travel distance between tasks. They are further estimated after each *AlgoSchedList* run.

# 6 Enhanced greedy heuristic - AlgoGreedy

In order to evaluate our column generation heuristic, we additionally designed a greedy algorithm to solve the complete RTISP based on the dynamic programming method described

in 5. Starting from a node, a period and a vehicle, a shortest path satisfying resource constraints is computed. The shortest path is appended to the schedule of the current vehicle. We let the vehicle go forward until the end of the schedule horizon is reached. Then, we continue with the next vehicle. If no task is reachable from the current node, then a deadhead move is performed to find the nearest node which enables performing a task.

In order for the tasks to be selected during shortest path computation, different weight update rules have been tested. The one used in this paper uses information about task time windows and tasks duration.

Let  $w_a^k$  denote the cost of performing task *i* on vehicle *k*. This cost is defined as follows:

$$w_a^k = -M + c_a 2.0 - \frac{es_a}{ls_a}),$$

with  $es_a$  and  $ls_a$  the earliest and latest start of task a. M should have a value such that the algorithm will always prefer performing a task rather than deadheading.

# 7 Computational tests

## 7.1 Real dataset

The test dataset contains mainly two distinct parts which are static data and dynamic data. Static data contains the network representation of the railway network and the vehicles outages which are likely to rarely change during the life cycle of the decision tool. Dynamic data contains the tasks time windows and tracks outages which can be updated at most every months. For the purpose of this article we present a dataset based on information acquired for 2009.

The infrastructure graph has 1000 arcs, 500 edges and 760 nodes. A total of 500 tasks must be performed per year. The generated graph has 1600 arcs, 500 edges and 770 nodes of which 90 are refill stations. Task time windows have a fixed size of 28 days and duration ranging from few minutes to six hours. The duration of a shift is fixed to seven hours. The horizon used for shortest paths computation is one month, which yields 12 subproblems per vehicle.

# 7.2 Computational tests

Based on the real dataset we derived three scenarios. In the first one, named *no outage*, we removed all track outages. In the second one, *small outages*, we divided by 10 the duration of each outage. The last one, named *full outages*, is the real dataset provided by the company. For each algorithm and each scenario, we show the task completion rate (r) and the performance ratio (p).

The performance ratio (p) is calculated to reflect the rate between the total inspected distance  $(d_i)$  and the total deadhead  $(d_d)$  moves :

$$p = \frac{d_i}{d_i + d_d}.$$

The task completion rate (r) is used for getting information about the hardness of the instance. The real dataset is actually in constant evolution and is known not to be feasible. In fact, the information about whether outages can be traversed or not is not yet available. To cope with this situation, a slack variable with a prohibitive cost is added to each covering constraints of the model.

In the table in figure 3, it can be seen that the column generation heuristic outperforms the greedy algorithm in terms of task coverage and solution quality. In the table in figure 4, it can be seen that the performance of the column generation algorithm seems to be better when time windows are tight.

	No outages		small outages		full outages	
	r	p	r	p	r	p
AlgoGreedy	100%	18.82%	27%	9.77%	23%	9.06%
AlgoColGen	100%	30.50%	37%	25.54%	31%	22%

**Figure 3** Task coverage and solution quality

	No outages	small outages	full outages
	$\mathbf{t}$	$\mathbf{t}$	t
AlgoGreedy	47	767	539
AlgoColGen	3434	180	61

**Figure 4** Computation time (in seconds)

# 8 Conclusion

In this paper, a railway maintenance routing problem and a mixed integer formulation is presented. An original column generation heuristic is proposed to solve it. Cut generators based on model relaxation resolution are proposed and implemented. A comparison between this heuristic and an enhanced greedy algorithm is presented. The numerical tests show that the column generation heuristic performs better than the greedy heuristic. Furthermore, it highlights the difficulty for the greedy algorithm to tackle dataset with highly constrained time windows. The difficulty to perform all tasks is due to the presented dataset. It is an extreme situation in which it is forbidden to traverse during every outages and the minimum outage duration is one day.

This work on train units for ultrasonic inspection can be extended to other maintenance train units which also have a limited capacity. An extensive study of the pseudo local cut impact is also of interest.

#### – References –

- 1 R.K. Ahuja, T.L. Magnanti, and J.B. Orlin. Network flows: theory, algorithms, and applications. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1993. ISBN 0-13-617549-X.
- 2 A. Amaya, A. Langevin, and M. Trépanier. The capacitated arc routing problem with refill points. Operations Research Letters, 35(1):45–53, 2007. ISSN 0167-6377.
- **3** D.L. Applegate, R.E. Bixby, V. Chvàtal, and W.J. Cook. *The Traveling Salesman Problem: A Computational Study.* Princeton Press, 2007.
- 4 J.F. Benders. Partitioning procedures for solving mixed-variables programming problems. Numerische Mathematik, 4:238–252, 1962.
- 5 S. Raghavan B.L. Golden and E.A. Wasil, editors. The Vehicle Routing Problem: Latest Advances and New Challenges. Springer US, 2008.

- 6 Vasek Chvàtal. A Greedy Heuristic for the Set-Covering Problem. Mathematics of Operations Research, 4(3):233-235, 1979.
- 7 M. Dror, editor. Arc Routing: Theory, Solutions and Applications. Springer, 2000. ISBN 0-79237-898-9.
- 8 H. A. Eiselt, M. Gendreau, and G. Laporte. Arc routing problems, part I: The chinese postman problem. *Operations Research*, 43(2):231–242, 1995.
- **9** H. A. Eiselt, M. Gendreau, and G. Laporte. Arc routing problems, part II: The rural postman problem. *Operations Research*, 43(3):399–414, 1995.
- 10 J.L Ellis and S. Wohlk. Solving the capacitated arc routing problem with time windows using column generation. CORAL Working Papers L-2008-09, University of Aarhus, Aarhus School of Business, Department of Business Studies, January 2009.
- 11 D. Feillet, P. Dejax, M. Gendreau, and C. Gueguen. An exact algorithm for the elementary shortest path problem with resource constraints: Application to some vehicle routing problems. *Networks*, 44(3):216–229, 2004.
- 12 G. Ghiani, G. Improta, and G. Laporte. The capacitated arc routing problem with intermediate facilities. *Networks*, 37(3):134–143, 2001.
- 13 B.L. Golden and R.T. Wong. Capacitated arc routing problems. Networks, 11(3):305–315, 1981.
- 14 G. Hasle and O. Kloster. *Geometric Modelling, Numerical Simulation, and Optimization*, chapter Industrial Vehicle Routing, pages 397–435. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- 15 Stefan Irnich. Solution of real-world postman problems. European Journal of Operational Research, 190(1):52 – 67, 2008. ISSN 0377-2217. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2007.06.002. URL.
- 16 B. Kim, S. Kim, and S. Sahoo. Waste collection vehicle routing problem with time windows. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33:3624–3642, 2006.
- 17 A. Max-Colell, M.D. Whinston, and R. Green. *Microeconomic Theory*. Oxford University Press, 1995.
- 18 N. Perrier, A. Langevin, and J.F. Campbell. A survey of models and algorithms for winter road maintenance. Part I: system design for spreading and plowing. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33:209–238, 2006.
- 19 N. Perrier, A. Langevin, and J.F. Campbell. A survey of models and algorithms for winter road maintenance. Part II: system design for snow disposal. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33:239–262, 2006.
- 20 N. Perrier, A. Langevin, and J.F. Campbell. A survey of models and algorithms for winter road maintenance. Part III: Vehicle routing and depot location for spreading. *Computers & Operations Research*, 34:211–257, 2007.
- 21 N. Perrier, A. Langevin, and J.F. Campbell. A survey of models and algorithms for winter road maintenance. Part IV: Vehicle routing and fleet sizing for plowing and snow disposal. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33:239?262, 2007.
- 22 N. Perrier, A. Langevin, and C.A. Amaya. Vehicle routing for urban snow plowing operations. *Transportation Science*, 42:44–56, 2008.
- 23 M. Reghioui, C. Prins, and N. Labadi. Grasp with path relinking for the capacitated arc routing problem with time windows. In *Proceedings of the 2007 EvoWorkshops 2007 on EvoCoMnet, EvoFIN, EvoIASP, EvoINTERACTION, EvoMUSART, EvoSTOC and EvoTransLog*, pages 722–731, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. Springer-Verlag. ISBN 978-3-540-71804-8.