



DAGSTUHL  
**ARTIFACTS SERIES**

**Volume 7 | Issue 1 | July 2021**

Special Issue of the 33rd Euromicro Conference on Real-Time  
Systems (ECRTS 2021)

Edited by

**Alessandro Biondi**  
**Angeliki Kritikakou**




## ISSN 2509-8195

*DARTS Special Issue Editors*

### **Alessandro Biondi**

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa, Italy


alessandro.biondi@sssup.it

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6625-9336>

### **Angeliki Kritikakou**

University of Rennes, Irisa, INRIA, CNRS, Rennes, France

angeliki.kritikakou@irisa.fr

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9293-469X>

*Published online and open access by*

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany.

Online available at

<http://drops.dagstuhl.de/darts>.

*Publication date*

July 2021

*ACM Classification 2012*

Software and its engineering

*License*

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0):

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>.



In brief, this license authorizes each and everybody to share (to copy, distribute and transmit) the work under the following conditions, without impairing or restricting the authors' moral rights:

- Attribution: The work must be attributed to its authors.

The copyright is retained by the corresponding authors.

*Aims and Scope*

The Dagstuhl Artifacts Series (DARTS) publishes evaluated research data and artifacts in all areas of computer science. An artifact can be any kind of content related to computer science research, e.g., experimental data, source code, virtual machines containing a complete setup, test suites, or tools.

*Contact*

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik  
DARTS, Editorial Office

Oktavie-Allee, 66687 Wadern, Germany

[publishing@dagstuhl.de](mailto:publishing@dagstuhl.de)

*Digital Object Identifier*

10.4230/DARTS.7.1.0

<http://www.dagstuhl.de/darts>



## ■ Contents

Artifact Evaluation Process .....	0:vii
Artifact Evaluation Committee .....	0:ix

### Artifacts

On the Convolution Efficiency for Probabilistic Analysis of Real-Time Systems (Artifact) <i>Filip Marković, Alessandro Vittorio Papadopoulos, and Thomas Nolte</i> .....	1:1–1:2
A Residual Service Curve of Rate-Latency Server Used by Sporadic Flows Computable in Quadratic Time for Network Calculus (Artifact) <i>Marc Boyer, Pierre Roux, Hugo Daigorte, and David Puechmaille</i> .....	2:1–2:3
Light Reading: Optimizing Reader/Writer Locking for Read-Dominant Real-Time Workloads (Artifact) <i>Catherine E. Nemitz, Shai Caspin, James H. Anderson, and Bryan C. Ward</i> .....	3:1–3:3



## ■ Artifact Evaluation Process

The ECRTS Artifact Evaluation (AE) process takes place after the paper decisions have been finalized. We seek to achieve the benefits of the AE process without disturbing the current process through which ECRTS has generate high-quality programs in the past. Therefore, the current submission, review and acceptance procedure are completely unaltered by the decision of running an AE process.

Once acceptance decisions are final, the authors of accepted papers are invited to submit an artifact evaluation (or replication) package. Hence, the repeatability evaluation process has no impact on whether a paper is accepted at ECRTS, and will be entirely optional and up to authors. Moreover, there is no disclosure of the title and authors of papers which would not pass the repeatability evaluation. This is to avoid negative bias towards submitting their artifact on the authors' part. Once authors that desire to do so have submitted their artifacts, an Artifact Evaluation Committee (AEC) composed mainly of PhD students close to graduation, postdocs and young researchers evaluates the artifacts.

Artifacts should include two components:

- a document explaining how to use the artifact and which of the experiments presented in the paper are repeatable (with reference to specific digits, figures and tables in the paper), the system requirements and instructions for installing and using the artifact;
- the software and any accompanying data.

During the first week, all the evaluators check that they can run the code of artifacts assigned to them, without problems. In case of problems, these are promptly (and anonymously) reported to the authors of the artifact that can help fixing them. From that moment on, the evaluators have 3 weeks to complete their reviews. During the last week, a brief online discussion takes place if/when necessary and notifications are sent to authors.





## ■ Artifact Evaluation Committee

Tanya Amert  
The University of North Carolina at Chapel  
Hill (UNC)  
Chapel Hill, USA  
tamert@cs.unc.edu

Stefanos Skalistis  
Collins Aerospace  
Cork, Ireland  
stefanos.skalistis@collins.com

Matthias Becker  
Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)  
Stockholm, Sweden  
mabecker@kth.se

Bryan Donyanavard  
San Diego State University (SDSU)  
San Diego, USA  
bdonyanavard@sdsu.edu

Romain Jacob  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in  
Zurich (ETH Zurich)  
Zurich, Switzerland  
jacobr@ethz.ch

Leonidas Kosmidis  
Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC)  
Barcelona, Spain  
leonidas.kosmidis@bsc.es

Paolo Pazzaglia  
Saarland University  
Saarbrücken, Germany  
pazzaglia@cs.uni-saarland.de

Benjamin Rouxel  
University of Amsterdam  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
benjamin.rouxel@uva.nl

Fernando Fernandes dos Santos  
Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul  
(UFRGS)  
Porto Alegre, Brazil  
ffsantos@inf.ufrgs.br

Lea Schönberger  
Technical University of Dortmund  
Dortmund, Germany  
lea.schoenberger@tu-dortmund.de

33rd Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems (ECRTS 2021).

Editors: Alessandro Biondi and Angeliki Kritikakou



DAGSTUHL

Dagstuhl Artifacts Series

ARTIFACTS SERIES

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

