

Volume 11, Issue 2, March 2021

Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems (Dagstuhl Seminar 21121)	
Anna Gál. Meena Mahajan, Rahul Santhanam, and Till Tantau	-

### ISSN 2192-5283

Published online and open access by Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany. Online available at

http://www.dagstuhl.de/dagpub/2192-5283

Publication date September, 2021

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

#### License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0).



In brief, this license authorizes each and everybody to share (to copy,

distribute and transmit) the work under the following conditions, without impairing or restricting the authors' moral rights:

Attribution: The work must be attributed to its authors.

The copyright is retained by the corresponding authors.

Aims and Scope

The periodical *Dagstuhl Reports* documents the program and the results of Dagstuhl Seminars and Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshops.

In principal, for each Dagstuhl Seminar or Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop a report is published that contains the following:

- an executive summary of the seminar program and the fundamental results.
- an overview of the talks given during the seminar (summarized as talk abstracts), and
- summaries from working groups (if applicable).

This basic framework can be extended by suitable contributions that are related to the program of the seminar, e.g. summaries from panel discussions or open problem sessions.

#### Editorial Board

- Elisabeth André
- Franz Baader
- Gilles Barthe
- Daniel Cremers
- Goetz Graefe
- Reiner Hähnle
- Barbara Hammer
- Lynda Hardman
- Oliver Kohlbacher
- Steve Kremer
- Bernhard Mitschang
- Albrecht Schmidt
- Wolfgang Schröder-Preikschat
- Raimund Seidel (Editor-in-Chief)
- Heike Wehrheim
- Verena Wolf
- Martina Zitterbart

## Editorial Office

Michael Wagner (Managing Editor)
Michael Didas (Managing Editor)
Jutka Gasiorowski (Editorial Assistance)
Dagmar Glaser (Editorial Assistance)
Thomas Schillo (Technical Assistance)

#### Contact

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik Dagstuhl Reports, Editorial Office Oktavie-Allee, 66687 Wadern, Germany reports@dagstuhl.de

Digital Object Identifier: 10.4230/DagRep.11.2.i

http://www.dagstuhl.de/dagrep

# Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems

Edited by

Anna Gál<sup>1</sup>, Meena Mahajan<sup>2</sup>, Rahul Santhanam<sup>3</sup>, and Till Tantau<sup>4</sup>

- 1 University of Texas, Austin, United States, panni@cs.utexas.edu
- 2 The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, Chennai, India meena@imsc.res.in
- 3 University of Oxford, Great Britain, rahul.santhanam@cs.ox.ac.uk
- 4 Universität zu Lübeck, Germany, tantau@tcs.uni-luebeck.de

#### — Abstract -

This report documents the program and activities of Dagstuhl Seminar 21121 "Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems," which was held online in March 2021. Starting with a description of the organization of the online meeting and the topics covered, we then list the different talks given during the seminar in alphabetical order of speakers, followed by the abstracts of the talks, including the main references and relevant sources where applicable. Despite the fact that only a compressed daily time slot was available for the seminar with participants from time zones spanning the whole globe and despite the fact that informal discussions were harder to hold than in a typical on-site seminar, the rate of participation throughout the seminar was very high and many lively scientific debates were held.

Seminar March 21–26, 2021 – http://www.dagstuhl.de/21121

**2012 ACM Subject Classification** Theory of computation  $\rightarrow$  Computational complexity and cryptography; Theory of computation  $\rightarrow$  Design and analysis of algorithms

**Keywords and phrases** circuit complexity, communication complexity, computational complexity, lower bounds, randomness

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/DagRep.11.2.1

Edited in cooperation with Max Bannach<sup>1</sup>

# 1 Executive Summary

Anna Gál (University of Texas, Austin, Unites States)
Meena Mahajan (The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, Chennai, India)
Rahul Santhanam (University of Oxford, Great Britain)
Till Tantau (Universität zu Lübeck, Germany)

Computational complexity studies the amount of resources (such as time, space, randomness, or communication) that are necessary to solve computational problems in various models of computation. Finding efficient algorithms for solving computational tasks is crucial for practical applications. Despite a long line of research, for many problems that arise in practice it is not known if they can be solved efficiently – in particular, in polynomial time. Beside questions about the existence of polynomial time algorithms for problems like Satisfiability or Factoring, where the best known algorithms run in exponential time, there is a huge

Except where otherwise noted, content of this report is licensed under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license

Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems, Dagstuhl Reports, Vol. 11, Issue 02, pp. 1–16

Editors: Anna Gál, Meena Mahajan, Rahul Santhanam, and Till Tantau

Dagstuhl Reports

REPORTS

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Universität zu Lübeck, Germany, bannach@tcs.uni-luebeck.de

class of practical problems where algorithms with polynomial running time (such as cubic or even quadratic time) are known, but it would be important to establish whether these running times are best possible, to what extent they can be improved, and whether parallel algorithms allow improvements of the runtime.

These fundamental questions motivate developments in various areas from algorithm design to circuit complexity, communication complexity and proof complexity. During this Dagstuhl Seminar 21121, some of the most exciting recent developments in those areas related to computational complexity were presented in a series of talks. The seminar was the most recent one in the series of Dagstuhl Seminars entitled "Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems" – seminars 19121, 17121, 14121, 11121.

Owing to the pandemic and associated travel restrictions, the seminar was held in a purely online format. With 52 researchers from across the world participating in the event, resident in time zones ranging from Japan to California, the window for common acceptable time slots was small. We decided to have a two-hour time slot each day for technical sessions, followed by an additional hour or more each day for social interactions. The Webex platform was used for technical sessions, and gather town additionally for some of the social interactions. Despite the challenges of making the online event interesting given the ubiquitous screen-time fatigue, the meetings saw high participation level (between at least 80% and typically over 90% participation on all days) and were highly interactive – primarily due to the excellent nature of the given talks.

The seminar started with the creation of a "graph of interests" (using a Miro whiteboard), enabling participants to discover shared research interests with other participants. Following this, during the week, there were 20 research talks, coming from a range of topics including lower bounds on formula size and circuit size, complexity measures of Boolean functions, the algorithmic method for proving lower bounds, fixed parameter tractability and hardness magnification, communication complexity and lifting techniques, as well as proof complexity. Some specific results presented include:

- An improved lower bound, after many years, on the number of hyperplanes needed to slice all edges of the Boolean hypercube.
- A lower bound for monotone arithmetic circuit size using techniques from communication complexity.
- A new potential technique for de Morgan formula lower bounds.
- More refined notions of unambiguous certificate complexity and block sensitivity, with a separation that lifts to communication complexity.

The titles and abstracts of all the talks appear later in this report.

In addition, there was a rump session with short talks by Amit Chakrabarti, Amit Sinhababu, and Prahladh Harsha.

On the social interactions front, in the designated coffee slots there were some meet-random-people-in-a-break-out sessions. The traditional Wednesday hike was replaced by a "virtual hike" using Google Earth imagery, that went over one of the shorter hike trails near Schloss Dagstuhl and then virtually visited some participants' institutes. The "wine-cheese-music party" became an online party on gather town following the Schloss Dagstuhl map, and included music, games, and a commentary on the hardness of travelling.

The organizers, Anna Gál, Meena Mahajan, Rahul Santhanam, and Till Tantau, thank all participants for the many contributions they made. We would also like to especially thank the Dagstuhl staff for their cooperation in the current challenging circumstances, their encouragement for going ahead with an online event, and their unstinted help with organizational matters. We would also like to thank Max Bannach for his invaluable help assembling and preparing this report.

# 2 Table of Contents

Executive Summary  Anna Gál, Meena Mahajan, Rahul Santhanam, and Till Tantau	1
Overview of Talks	
Cryptographic Hardness under Projections for Time-Bounded Kolmogorov Complexity $Eric\ Allender\ \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$	5
Dynamic Kernels for Hitting Sets and Set Packing  Max Bannach	5
Quantified Boolean formulas: proofs, solving, and circuits  Olaf Beyersdorff	6
Majority versus Approximate Linear Sum and Average-Case Complexity Below $\mathrm{NC}^1$	
Igor Carboni Oliveira	6
Sourav Chakraborty	7
Arkadev Chattopadhyay	8
Anindya De	8
Bounded indistinguishability for simple sources  Yuval Filmus	9
Circuit Depth Reductions  Alexander Golovnev	9
Unambiguous DNFs from Hex  Mika Göös	10
Ideal-theoretic Explanation of Capacity-achieving codes  Prahladh Harsha	10
Average-Case Hardness of NP from Exponential Worst-Case Hardness Assumptions  Shuichi Hirahara	11
Interactive Error Correcting Codes and the Magical Power of Adaptivity  Gillat Kol	
Network Coding Conjecture and Data Structure Lower Bounds	
Complexity of Linear Operators	12
Alexander S. Kulikov	12
gorithms	13
Reconstruction Algorithms for Low-Rank Tensors Shubhangi Saraf	13

# 4 21121 - Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems

A Lower Bound on Determinantal Complexity  Ben Lee Volk	 14
Toward Solving LPs in Matrix-Multiplication Time  Omri Weinstein	 14
Slicing the Hypercube is Not Easy Amir Yehudayoff	 14
Remote Participants	 15

# 3 Overview of Talks

# 3.1 Cryptographic Hardness under Projections for Time-Bounded Kolmogorov Complexity

Eric Allender (Rutgers University – Piscataway, US)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Eric Allender

Joint work of Eric Allender, John Gouwar, Shuichi Hirahara, Caleb Robelle

Main reference Eric Allender, John Gouwar, Shuichi Hirahara, Caleb Robelle: "Cryptographic Hardness under Projections for Time-Bounded Kolmogorov Complexity", Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 28, p. 10, 2021.

URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2021/010

A version of time-bounded Kolmogorov complexity, denoted KT, has received attention in the past several years, due to its close connection to circuit complexity and to the Minimum Circuit Size Problem MCSP. Essentially all results about the complexity of MCSP hold also for MKTP (the problem of computing the KT complexity of a string). Both MKTP and MCSP are hard for SZK (Statistical Zero Knowledge) under BPP-Turing reductions; neither is known to be NP-complete. Recently, some hardness results for MKTP were proved that are not (yet) known to hold for MCSP. In particular, MKTP is hard for DET (a subclass of P) under nonuniform NC<sup>0</sup> m-reductions.

We improve this, to show that MKTP is hard for the (apparently larger) class NISZK $_L$  under not only NC $^0$  m-reductions but even under projections. Also MKTP is hard for NISZK under P/poly m-reductions. Here, NISZK is the class of problems with non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs, and NISZK $_L$  is the non-interactive version of the class SZK $_L$  that was studied by Dvir et al.

As an application, we provide several improved worst-case to average-case reductions to problems in NP.

This is joint work with John Gouwar, Shuichi Hirahara, and Caleb Robelle.

# 3.2 Dynamic Kernels for Hitting Sets and Set Packing

Max Bannach (Universität zu Lübeck, DE)

```
    License ⊕ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
    ⊕ Max Bannach
    Joint work of Max Bannach, Zacharias Heinrich, Rüdiger Reischuk, Till Tantau
    Main reference Max Bannach, Zacharias Heinrich, Rüdiger Reischuk, Till Tantau: "Dynamic Kernels for Hitting Sets and Set Packing", Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 26, p. 146, 2019.
    URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2019/146
```

Computing kernels for the hitting set problem (the problem of finding a size-k set that intersects each hyperedge of a hypergraph) is a well-studied computational problem. For hypergraphs with m hyperedges, each of size at most d, the best algorithms can compute kernels of size  $O(k^d)$  in time  $O(2^d m)$ . We generalize this task to the dynamic setting where hyperedges may be continuously added and deleted and we always have to keep track of a hitting set kernel (including moments when no size-k hitting set exists). We present a deterministic solution, based on a novel data structure, that needs worst-case time  $O^*(3^d)$  for updating the kernel upon hyperedge inserts and time  $O^*(5^d)$  for updates upon deletions—thus nearly matching the time  $O^*(2^d)$  needed by the best static algorithm per hyperedge.

#### 3.3 Quantified Boolean formulas: proofs, solving, and circuits

Olaf Beyersdorff (Universität Jena, DE)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license Olaf Beversdorff

Joint work of Beyersdorff, Olaf, Benjamin Böhm, Meena Mahajan, Joshua Blinkhorn

Main reference Olaf Beyersdorff, Benjamin Böhm: "Understanding the Relative Strength of QBF CDCL Solvers and QBF Resolution", in Proc. of the 12th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, ITCS 2021, January 6-8, 2021, Virtual Conference, LIPIcs, Vol. 185, pp. 12:1–12:20, Schloss Dagstuhl Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik. 2021.

 $\textbf{URL}\ \mathrm{https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ITCS.2021.12}$ 

Main reference Olaf Beyersdorff, Joshua Blinkhorn, Meena Mahajan: "Hardness Characterisations and Size-Width Lower Bounds for QBF Resolution", in Proc. of the LICS '20: 35th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, Saarbrücken, Germany, July 8-11, 2020, pp. 209–223, ACM, 2020.

 $\textbf{URL} \ \, \text{https://doi.org/} 10.1145/3373718.3394793$ 

This talk will start with an overview of the relatively young field of QBF proof complexity, explaining QBF proof systems (including QBF resolution) and an assessment of which lower bound techniques are available for QBF proof systems. In the main part of the talk, I will explain hardness characterisations for QBF proof systems in terms of circuit complexity, yielding very direct connections between circuit lower bounds and QBF proof system lower bounds. The talk will also cover the relations between QBF resolution and QCDCL solving algorithms. Modelling QCDCL as proof systems we show that QCDCL and Q-Resolution are incomparable.

This talk is based on two recent papers, joint with Joshua Blinkhorn and Meena Mahajan (LICS'20) and with Benjamin Böhm (ITCS'21).

#### 3.4 Majority versus Approximate Linear Sum and Average-Case Complexity Below NC<sup>1</sup>

Igor Carboni Oliveira (University of Warwick - Coventry, GB)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license Igor Carboni Oliveira

Joint work of Igor Carboni Oliviera, Lijie Chen, Zhenjian Lu, Xin Lyu

Main reference Lijie Chen, Zhenjian Lu, Xin Lyu, Igor Carboni Oliveira: "Majority vs. Approximate Linear Sum and Average-Case Complexity Below NC1", Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 28, p. 40,

URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2021/040

We develop a general framework that characterizes strong average-case lower bounds against circuit classes C contained in NC<sup>1</sup>, such as AC<sup>0</sup> [mod 2] and ACC<sup>0</sup>. We apply this framework

- Generic seed reduction: Pseudorandom generators (PRGs) against C of seed length < nand error  $\epsilon = n^{-\omega(1)}$  can be converted into PRGs of sub-polynomial seed length.
- Hardness under natural distributions: If E (deterministic exponential time) is average-case hard against C under some distribution, then E is average-case hard against C under the uniform distribution.
- Equivalence between worst-case and average-case hardness: Worst-case lower bounds against MAJ-C for problems in E are equivalent to strong average-case lower bounds against C. This can be seen as a certain converse to the Discriminator Lemma [Hajnal et al., JCSS'93].

These results were not known to hold for circuit classes that do not compute majority. Additionally, we prove that classical and recent approaches to worst-case lower bounds against  $ACC^0$  via communication lower bounds for NOF multi-party protocols [Hastad and

Goldmann, CC'91; Razborov and Wigderson, IPL'93] and Torus polynomials degree lower bounds [Bhrushundi et al., ITCS'19] also imply strong average-case hardness against ACC<sup>0</sup> under the uniform distribution.

Crucial to these results is the use of non-black-box hardness amplification techniques and the interplay between Majority (MAJ) and Approximate Linear Sum (apxSUM) gates. Roughly speaking, while a MAJ gate outputs 1 when the sum of the minput bits is at least m/2, a apxSUM gate computes a real-valued bounded weighted sum of the input bits and outputs 1 (resp. 0) if the sum is close to 1 (resp. close to 0), with the promise that one of the two cases always holds. As part of our framework, we explore ideas introduced in [Chen and Ren, STOC'20] to show that, for the purpose of proving lower bounds, a top layer MAJ gate is equivalent to a (weaker) apxSUM gate. Motivated by this result, we extend the algorithmic method and establish stronger lower bounds against bounded-depth circuits with layers of MAJ and apxSUM gates. Among them, we prove that:

■ Lower bound: NQP does not admit fixed quasi-polynomial size MAJ-apxSUM-ACC<sup>0</sup>-THR circuits.

This is the first explicit lower bound against circuits with distinct layers of MAJ, apxSUM, and THR gates. Consequently, if the aforementioned equivalence between MAJ and apxSUM as a top gate can be extended to intermediate layers, long sought-after lower bounds against the class THR-THR of depth-2 polynomial-size threshold circuits would follow.

# 3.5 Estimating Size of Union of Sets in Streaming Model

Sourav Chakraborty (Indian Statistical Institute – Kolkata, IN)

License ⊚ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © Sourav Chakraborty

Joint work of Sourav Chakraborty, Kuldeep Meel, N.V. Vinodchandran

We present a very simple and efficient sampling-based algorithm for estimating the union of sets in the streaming setting. Suppose we have a collection of sets  $S_1, \ldots, S_M$  subsets of T, arriving one by one in a stream; the sets are not given explicitly to us but rather defined implicitly via the following oracles: for each set, we can know the size of the set, get a uniform sample from the set, and given a point check whether it belongs to the set. The goal is to estimate the size of union of the sets  $S_1, \ldots, S_M$ .

We present a simple algorithm that estimates the size of the union, upto a  $(1 + \epsilon)$  factor, in space complexity and update time complexity  $O(\log(M)\log(T)/\epsilon^2)$ .

Our algorithm gives the best streaming solutions for various problems like the Klee Measure, Test Coverage Estimation, and DNF Model Counting. Our algorithm provides the first algorithm with linear dependence on the dimension for Klee's measure problem in streaming setting, thereby settling the open problem of Woodruff and Tirthpura (PODS-12).

This work is from a recent paper, with Kuldeep Meel and Vinodchandran, that was accepted to PODS recently.

# 3.6 Lower Bounds for Monotone Arithmetic Circuits Via Communication Complexity

Arkadev Chattopadhyay (TIFR - Mumbai, IN)

```
License ⊚ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © Arkadev Chattopadhyay

Joint work of Arkadev Chattopadhyay, Rajit Datta, Partha Mukhopadhyay

Main reference Arkadev Chattopadhyay, Rajit Datta, Partha Mukhopadhyay: "Lower bounds for monotone arithmetic circuits via communication complexity", in Proc. of the STOC '21: 53rd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Virtual Event, Italy, June 21-25, 2021, pp. 786–799,
```

ACM, 2021. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3406325.3451069

Valiant (1980) showed that general arithmetic circuits with negation can be exponentially more powerful than monotone ones. We give the first improvement to this classical result: we construct a family of polynomials  $P_n$  in n variables, each of its monomials has non-negative coefficient, such that  $P_n$  can be computed by a polynomial-size depth-three formula but every monotone circuit computing it has size  $2^{\Omega(n^{1/4}/\log(n))}$ . Moreover, our result shows an exponential separation of the powers of multilinear and monotone arithmetic circuits for computing a monotone polynomial. As far as we know, no super-polynomial separation was known before our work.

The polynomial  $P_n$  embeds the SINK  $\circ$  XOR function devised recently by Chattopadhyay, Mande and Sherif (2020) to refute the Log-Approximate-Rank Conjecture in communication complexity. To prove our lower bound for  $P_n$ , we develop a general connection between corruption of combinatorial rectangles by any function  $f \circ XOR$  and corruption of product polynomials by a certain polynomial  $P^f$  that is an arithmetic embedding of f. This connection should be of independent interest.

Using further ideas from communication complexity, we construct another family of set-multilinear polynomials  $f_{n,m}$  such that both  $F_{n,m} - \epsilon \cdot f_{n,m}$  and  $F_{n,m} + \epsilon \cdot f_{n,m}$  have monotone circuit complexity  $2^{\Omega(n/\log(n))}$  if  $\epsilon \geq 2^{-\Omega(m)}$  and  $F_{n,m} \coloneqq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_{i,1} + \cdots + x_{i,m}\right)$ , with  $m = O(n/\log n)$ . The polynomials  $f_{n,m}$  have 0/1 coefficients and are in VNP. Proving such lower bounds for monotone circuits has been advocated recently by Hrubeš (2020) as a first step towards proving lower bounds against general circuits via his new approach.

## 3.7 Convex influences and a quantitative Gaussian correlation inequality

Anindya De (University of Pennsylvania - Philadelphia, US)

Main reference Anindya De, Shivam Nadimpalli, Rocco A. Servedio: "Quantitative Correlation Inequalities via Semigroup Interpolation", in Proc. of the 12th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, ITCS 2021, January 6-8, 2021, Virtual Conference, LIPIcs, Vol. 185, pp. 69:1–69:20, Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.

URL https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ITCS.2021.69

The Gaussian correlation inequality (GCI), proven by Royen in 2014, states that any two centrally symmetric convex sets (say K and L) in the Gaussian space are positively correlated. We will prove a new quantitative version of the GCI which gives a lower bound on this correlation based on the "common influential directions" of K and L. This can be seen as a Gaussian space analogue of Talagrand's well known correlation inequality for monotone functions. To obtain this inequality, we propose a new approach, based on analysis

of Littlewood type polynomials, which gives a recipe to transfer qualitative correlation inequalities into quantitative correlation inequalities. En route, we also give a new notion of influences for convex symmetric sets over the Gaussian space which has many of the properties of influences from Boolean functions over the discrete cube. Much remains to be explored, in particular, about this new notion of influences for convex sets.

# 3.8 Bounded indistinguishability for simple sources

```
License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Yuval Filmus
```

Joint work of Yuval Filmus, Akshayaram Srinivasan, Andrej Bogdanov, Avi Kaplan, Krishnamoorthy Dinesh, Yuval Ishai

Bogdanov, Ishai, Viola, and Williamson constructed a pair of  $\sqrt{n}$ -indistinguishable sources X, Y which OR tells apart.

In contrast, Braverman showed that if X, Y are polylog(n)-indistinguishable and Y is the uniform distribution, then X, Y fool all of  $AC^0$ .

For which sources Y beside the uniform distribution does a Braverman-style theorem hold?

# 3.9 Circuit Depth Reductions

Yuval Filmus (Technion - Haifa, IL)

```
Alexander Golovnev (Georgetown University - Washington, DC, US)
```

```
License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Alexander Golovnev

Joint work of Alexander Golovnev, Alexander S. Kulikov, Ryan Williams

Main reference Alexander Golovnev, Alexander S. Kulikov: "Circuit Depth Reductions", CoRR,
Vol. abs/1811.04828, 2018.

URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04828
```

The best known size lower bounds against unrestricted circuits have remained around 3n for several decades. Moreover, the only known technique for proving lower bounds in this model, gate elimination, is inherently limited to proving lower bounds of less than 5n. In this work, we propose a non-gate-elimination approach for obtaining circuit lower bounds, via certain depth-three lower bounds. We prove that every (unbounded-depth) circuit of size s can be expressed as an OR of  $2^{s/3.9}$  16-CNFs. For DeMorgan formulas, the best known size lower bounds have been stuck at around  $n^{3-o(1)}$  for decades. Under a plausible hypothesis about probabilistic polynomials, we show that  $n^{4-\epsilon}$ -size DeMorgan formulas have  $2^{n^{1-\Omega(\epsilon)}}$ -size depth-3 circuits which are approximate sums of  $n^{1-\Omega(\epsilon)}$ -degree polynomials over  $F_2$ . While these structural results do not immediately lead to new lower bounds, they do suggest new avenues of attack on these longstanding lower bound problems.

Our results complement the classical depth-3 reduction results of Valiant, which show that logarithmic-depth circuits of linear size can be computed by an OR of  $2^{\epsilon n} n^{\delta}$ -CNFs, and slightly stronger results for series-parallel circuits. It is known that no purely graph-theoretic reduction could yield interesting depth-3 circuits from circuits of super-logarithmic depth. We overcome this limitation (for small-size circuits) by taking into account both the graph-theoretic and functional properties of circuits and formulas.

We show that improvements of the following pseudorandom constructions imply superlinear circuit lower bounds for log-depth circuits via Valiant's reduction: dispersers for varieties, correlation with constant degree polynomials, matrix rigidity, and hardness for depth-3 circuits with constant bottom fan-in. On the other hand, our depth reductions show that even modest improvements of the known constructions give elementary proofs of improved (but still linear) circuit lower bounds.

# 3.10 Unambiguous DNFs from Hex

```
Mika Göös (EPFL Lausanne, CH)
```

We exhibit an unambiguous k-DNF formula that requires CNF width  $\Omega(k^2)$ . As a corollary, we get a near-optimal solution for the Alon-Saks-Seymour problem in graph theory, which asks: How large a gap can there be between the chromatic number of a graph and its bipartite packing number?

# 3.11 Ideal-theoretic Explanation of Capacity-achieving codes

Prahladh Harsha (TIFR - Mumbai, IN)

```
License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Prahladh Harsha

Joint work of Siddharth Bhandari, Prahladh Harsha, Mrinal Kumar, Madhu Sudan

Main reference Siddharth Bhandari, Prahladh Harsha, Mrinal Kumar, Madhu Sudan: "Ideal-theoretic Explanation of Capacity-achieving Decoding", CoRR, Vol. abs/2103.07930, 2021.

URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.07930
```

In this work, we present an abstract framework for some algebraic error-correcting codes with the aim of capturing codes that are list-decodable to capacity, along with their decoding algorithm. In the polynomial ideal framework, a code is specified by some ideals in a polynomial ring, messages are polynomials and their encoding is the residue modulo the ideals. We present an alternate way of viewing this class of codes in terms of linear operators, and show that this alternate view makes their algorithmic list-decodability amenable to analysis. Our framework leads to a new class of codes that we call affine Folded Reed-Solomon codes (which are themselves a special case of the broader class we explore). These codes are common generalizations of the well-studied Folded Reed-Solomon codes and Multiplicity codes, while also capturing the less-studied Additive Folded Reed-Solomon codes as well as a large family of codes that were not previously known/studied.

More significantly our framework also captures the algorithmic list-decodability of the constituent codes. Specifically, we present a unified view of the decoding algorithm for ideal theoretic codes and show that the decodability reduces to the analysis of the distance of some related codes. We show that good bounds on this distance lead to capacity-achieving performance of the underlying code, providing a unifying explanation of known capacity-achieving results. In the specific case of affine Folded Reed-Solomon codes, our framework

shows that they are list-decodable up to capacity (for appropriate setting of the parameters), thereby unifying the previous results for Folded Reed-Solomon, Multiplicity and Additive Folded Reed-Solomon codes.

# 3.12 Average-Case Hardness of NP from Exponential Worst-Case Hardness Assumptions

Shuichi Hirahara (National Institute of Informatics – Tokyo, JP)

License ⊚ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © Shuichi Hirahara

Main reference Shuichi Hirahara: "Average-Case Hardness of NP from Exponential Worst-Case Hardness Assumptions", Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 28, p. 58, 2021.
URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2021/058

Basing the average-case hardness of NP on the worst-case hardness of NP is a long-standing and central open question in complexity theory, which is known as the question of excluding Heuristica from Impagliazzo's five possible worlds. It has been a long-standing open question to base the average-case hardness of PH on the exponential worst-case hardness of UP, and a large body of research has been devoted to explaining why standard proof techniques fail to resolve the open question.

In this work, we develop new proof techniques and resolve the open question. We prove that if UP is not in  $DTIME(2^{O(n/\log n)})$ , then NP is hard on average. Our proofs are based on the meta-complexity of time-bounded Kolmogorov complexity: We analyze average-case complexity through the lens of worst-case meta-complexity by using several new notions such as universal heuristic scheme and P-computable average-case polynomial-time.

# 3.13 Interactive Error Correcting Codes and the Magical Power of Adaptivity

Gillat Kol (Princeton University, US)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license © Gillat Kol

Error correcting codes (ECCs) allow for reliable data transfer over noisy channels. They had a profound impact on both the practical and theoretical communities, and over the last decades were one of the main enablers of the digital revolution. However, modern communication systems often go beyond one-way data transfer and instead operate over many rounds of interactive communication between different parties. Interactive ECCs are a generalization of classical ECCs, and they allow the conversion of any interactive communication protocol to a noise resilient one. In this talk we will focus on a modeling decision that is unique to interactive ECCs, namely, the order of communication, and see its impact on the existence of good interactive ECCs.

# 3.14 Network Coding Conjecture and Data Structure Lower Bounds

Michal Koucký (Charles University – Prague, CZ)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Michal Koucký

Joint work of Pavel Dvorák, Michal Koucký, Karel Král, Veronika Slívová

Main reference Pavel Dvorák, Michal Koucký, Karel Král, Veronika Slívová: "Data Structures Lower Bounds and Popular Conjectures", CoRR, Vol. abs/2102.09294, 2021.

URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.09294

In this talk I will present our new results on the relative power of several conjectures that attracted recently a lot of interest. We establish a connection between the Network Coding Conjecture of Li and Li (2010) and several data structure like problems such as non-adaptive function inversion of Hellman (1980) and the well studied problem of polynomial evaluation and interpolation. In turn these data structure problems imply super-linear circuit lower bounds for explicit functions such as integer sorting and multi-point polynomial evaluation.

# 3.15 Complexity of Linear Operators

Alexander S. Kulikov (Steklov Institute – St. Petersburg, RU)

License ⊕ Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license ⊕ Alexander S. Kulikov

Joint work of Alexander S. Kulikov, Ivan Mikhailin, Andrey Mokhov, Vladimir V. Podolskii

Main reference Alexander S. Kulikov, Ivan Mikhailin, Andrey Mokhov, Vladimir V. Podolskii: "Complexity of Linear Operators", in Proc. of the 30th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2019, December 8-11, 2019, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, China, LIPIcs, Vol. 149, pp. 17:1–17:12, Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2019.

URL https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ISAAC.2019.17

Let A be an  $n \times n$  0/1-matrix with z zeroes and u ones and x be an n-dimensional vector of formal variables over a semigroup  $(S, \circ)$ . How many semigroup operations are required to compute the linear operator Ax? As we observe in this paper, this problem contains as a special case the well-known range queries problem and has a rich variety of applications in such areas as graph algorithms, functional programming, circuit complexity, and others. It is easy to compute Ax using O(u) semigroup operations. The main question studied in this paper is: can Ax be computed using O(z) semigroup operations? We prove that in general this is not possible: there exists a matrix A with exactly two zeroes in every row (hence z=2n) whose complexity is  $\Theta(n\alpha(n))$  where  $\alpha(n)$  is the inverse Ackermann function. However, for the case when the semigroup is commutative, we give a constructive proof of an O(z) upper bound. This implies that in commutative settings, complements of sparse matrices can be processed as efficiently as sparse matrices (though the corresponding algorithms are more involved). Note that this covers the cases of Boolean and tropical semirings that have numerous applications, e.g., in graph theory. As a simple application of the presented linear-size construction, we show how to multiply two  $n \times n$  matrices over an arbitrary semiring in  $O(n^2)$  time if one of these matrices is a 0/1-matrix with O(n) zeroes (i. e., a complement of a sparse matrix).

# 3.16 Amortized Circuit Complexity, Formal Complexity Measures, and Catalytic Algorithms

Robert Robere (McGill University - Montreal, CA)

Some of the central questions in complexity theory address the amortized complexity of computation (also sometimes known as direct sum problems). While these questions appear in many contexts, they are all variants of the following:

Is the best way of computing T many times in parallel simply to compute T independently each time, or, can we achieve an economy of scale and compute all copies of T more efficiently on average?

In this talk, we discuss some recent results studying the amortized circuit complexity of computing boolean functions in various circuit models. The amortized circuit complexity of a Boolean function f is defined to be the limit, as m tends to infinity, of the circuit complexity of computing f on the same input m times, divided by m. We prove a new duality theorem for amortized circuit complexity in any circuit model, showing that the amortized circuit complexity of computing f is equal to the best lower bound achieved by any "formal complexity measure" applied to f. This new duality theorem is inspired by, and closely related to, Strassen's duality theorem for semirings, which has been fruitfully used to characterize the matrix multiplication exponent, the Shannon Capacity of graphs, as well as other important parameters in combinatorics and complexity. We discuss how our new duality theorem can be used to give alternative proofs of upper bounds on amortized circuit complexity, and also the close relationship between amortized circuit complexity and catalytic algorithms, in which an algorithm is provided with an extra input of advice bits that it is free to use, as long as it outputs a new copy of the extra advice on termination.

### 3.17 Reconstruction Algorithms for Low-Rank Tensors

Shubhangi Saraf (Rutgers University - Piscataway, US)

```
License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Shubhangi Saraf

Joint work of Vishwas Bhargava, Shubhangi Saraf, Ilya Volkovich

Main reference Vishwas Bhargava, Shubhangi Saraf, Ilya Volkovich: "Reconstruction Algorithms for Low-Rank

Tensors and Depth-3 Multilinear Circuits", Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 28, p. 45,
2021.

URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2021/045
```

In this talk we will discuss new and efficient black-box reconstruction algorithms for some classes of depth-3 arithmetic circuits. As a consequence, we will show how to obtain the first randomized polynomial-time algorithm for computing the tensor rank and for finding the optimal tensor decomposition as a sum of rank-one tensors when then input is a constant-rank tensor.

## 3.18 A Lower Bound on Determinantal Complexity

```
Ben Lee Volk (University of Texas - Austin, US)
```

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Ben Lee Volk

Joint work of Mrinal Kumar, Ben Lee Volk

Main reference Mrinal Kumar, Ben Lee Volk: "A Lower Bound on Determinantal Complexity", Electron.
Colloquium Comput. Complex., Vol. 27, p. 129, 2020.

URL https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2020/129

The determinantal complexity of a polynomial f is the minimal integer m such that there exists an  $m \times m$  matrix M of linear functions such that  $f(x) = \det(M(x))$ . This is an important measure in algebraic complexity which is related to circuit and formula complexity. I will show a proof of a lower bound of 1.5n on the determinantal complexity of an explicit n-variate polynomial. This is the strongest lower bound known as a function of the number of variables. I will also talk about possible ways of extending this result to a super-linear lower bound.

# 3.19 Toward Solving LPs in Matrix-Multiplication Time

Omri Weinstein (Columbia University – New York, US)

Interior-point methods (IPMs) make a clever use of second-order local search (Newton steps) to reduce a convex optimization problem to a dynamic sequence of slowly-changing linear systems. The past three or so years have witnessed the dramatic potential of dynamic data structures in reducing the cost-per-iteration of IPMs, leading to many breakthroughs on decade-old problems in TCS (e.g., solving LPs and Empirical Risk Minimization in close to matrix-multiplication time ( $\sim n^{w+1/18}$ ), and near-linear time bipartite matching). I will give a (short) high-level overview of this framework.

## 3.20 Slicing the Hypercube is Not Easy

URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.05536

```
Amir Yehudayoff (Technion – Haifa, IL)

License © Creative Commons BY 4.0 International license
© Amir Yehudayoff

Joint work of Gal Yehuda, Amir Yehudayoff

Main reference Gal Yehuda, Amir Yehudayoff: "Slicing the hypercube is not easy", CoRR, Vol. abs/2102.05536, 2021.
```

We prove that at least order  $n^{0.57}$  hyperplanes are needed to slice all edges of the n-dimensional hypercube. We provide a couple of applications: lower bounds on the computational complexity of parity, and a lower bound on the cover number of the hypercube by skew hyperplanes.

### Remote Participants

- Eric AllenderRutgers University –Piscataway, US
- Max Bannach Universität zu Lübeck, DE
- Olaf Beyersdorff Universität Jena, DE
- Harry BuhrmanCWI Amsterdam, NL
- Igor Carboni Oliveira
   University of Warwick –
   Coventry, GB
- Amit Chakrabarti
   Dartmouth College –
   Hanover, US
- Sourav Chakraborty
   Indian Statistical Institute –
   Kolkata, IN
- Arkadev ChattopadhyayTIFR Mumbai, IN
- Lijie Chen MIT – Cambridge, US
- Anindya De
   University of Pennsylvania –
   Philadelphia, US
- Yuval Filmus Technion – Haifa, IL
- Lance FortnowIllinois Institute of Technology,
- Anna Gál
  University of Texas Austin, US
- Mika Göös
   EPFL Lausanne, CH
- Alexander Golovnev
   Georgetown University –
   Washington, DC, US
- Rohit Gurjar
   Indian Institute of Technology Mumbai, IN
- Kristoffer Arnsfelt Hansen Aarhus University, DK

- Prahladh HarshaTIFR Mumbai, IN
- Johan Hastad
   KTH Royal Institute of
   Technology Stockholm, SE
- Shuichi Hirahara
   National Institute of Informatics –
   Tokyo, JP
- Rahul Ilango
- MIT Cambridge, US
- Stacey Jeffery
- CWI Amsterdam, NL
- Gillat Kol
- Princeton University, US
- Swastik Kopparty Rutgers University – Piscataway, US
- Michal Koucký
- Charles University Prague, CZ
- Alexander S. Kulikov
   Steklov Institute St.
   Petersburg, RU
- Sophie Laplante
- University Paris Diderot, FR
  Nutan Limaye
- Indian Institute of Technology Mumbai, IN
- Meena Mahajan
- The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI Chennai, IN
- Ian Mertz
- University of Toronto, CA
- Jakob Nordström
  University of Copenhagen DK
- University of Copenhagen, DK & Lund University, SE
- Ramamohan Paturi University of California -San Diego, US
- Toniann Pitassi
- University of Toronto, CA
- Pavel Pudlák
- The Czech Academy of Sciences Prague, CZ

- Oded RegevNew York University, US
- Rüdiger Reischuk
   Universität zu Lübeck, DE
- Robert RobereMcGill University –Montreal, CA
- Michael E. SaksRutgers University –Piscataway, US
- Rahul Santhanam University of Oxford, GB
- Shubhangi Saraf
   Rutgers University –
   Piscataway, US
- Amit Sinhababu Hochschule Aalen, DE
- Srikanth Srinivasan Aarhus University, DK
- Amnon Ta-Shma Tel Aviv University, IL
- Li-Yang Tan Stanford University, US
- Till Tantau Universität zu Lübeck, DE
- Thomas Thierauf
  Hochschule Aalen, DE
- Jacobo Torán Universität Ulm, DE
- Virginia Vassilevska WilliamsMIT Cambridge, US
- Ben Lee Volk
- University of Texas Austin, US
- Omri WeinsteinColumbia University –New York, US
- Ryan Williams
  MIT Cambridge, US
- Amir YehudayoffTechnion Haifa, IL



